Perhaps "People of the Ear" is as appropriate a designation for Jews as "People of the Book" and "People of the Mouth." For both the canon and the conversation - the sacred text and its ongoing elucidation - go back to the primary experience at Sinai: "witnessing the sounds of the thunder ... and the shofar." Whatever that strange overpowering sensory experience was, it had listening at its core, listening to the divine voice and word: Na'aseh ve-nishmah, "We shall do and we shall listen."

Ever since that commitment was put in writing as the Torah,

If one looks at the great schisms in Jewish his-

writings accepted as canonical by one side but

not by the other.... If modern Jews are going

to converse with each other and not only with

their own." — Hillel Halkin, "Writing Jewish,"

Commentary (October 2000)

well undermine the unity we seek.

tradition, they will need common great books of

While it is debatable, to say the least, that our schismatic

is no doubt that if "Of making many books there is no end" (Eccl.

12:12), the canonizing of "Great Books" is nearly as endless. Per-

sonally, I am ideologically, emotionally, and normatively commit-

ted to a canon of books. Nevertheless, I am not convinced that

the production of a communal Jewish discourse could or should

be grounded on the forging of a new canon or bookshelf. Indeed,

the slippery, Sisyphean experiment of canonization might very

ker given us by Islam), but "The People of the Mouth," i.e., the

Oral Law, and its culture of dialogical discourse that marks the

Jewish *kup* and culture to this day. The source of the great Jewish

conversation is conversation itself: The on-going non-canonized

set of ideas and ideals, debates and disputes, values, culture,

humor, and — yes — codified and canonized literature, texts

and legal codes (although surely not only that). It is this often

contentious conversation, and not a retroactive common canon,

which reflects and records our Jewish fate and, if shepherded

with care, may point toward common Jewish destiny.

In fact, we are not so much "The People of the Book" (a moni-

breaking points have been historically about books, there

tory, the breaking point has always been textual:

meant to serve as an eternally valid teaching, there has been a tension in Judaism between the text and the ensuing conversation about it, the Oral Torah, or Oral Tradition. The fluidity and spontaneity of orality were meant to complement the firm fixity of the written text. But, ironically, the conversation of commentary did not remain oral; it was written in yet more canonical texts, the Mishnah, Talmud, and all their offshoots.

The tension between tradition and that which is yet to be developed is persistent and abiding. And it is vital. To "converse with each other" and produce "common great books of their own," modern Jews will need to converse not only with one another, but also "with tradition." The best Jewish thinking

combines respect and daring: authentic speaking that challenges and is challenged by the traditional canon and conversation.

— Rabbi Jeffrey Saks

Lois Dubin

am reminded of Jorge Luis Borges's extraordinary story. The Library of Babel. "Like all men of the Library," his narrator says, "I have traveled in my youth; I have wandered in search of a book...." That Borges's narrator searches in anguish for the one book to unify all, we can understand. That he cannot find it or, more to the point, cannot identify one as all-meaning's center, this too we understand. Imperfect and mortal, he reads, and moves on.

Great books — the result of movement — effect movement. To readers: no guarantees of securing unity, separation, silence, or conversation. We read to keep searching.

I read The Library of Babel when I was twenty-one and an aspiring novelist. Thirty years have passed, but its opening words still haunt me. "The universe (which others call the Library)...."

– Marcie Hershman

hose Jews who are committed to a normative canon frequently find it difficult to imagine adding to it. A canon by definition is sacred — who could add? Yet things do tend to sneak into the canon over time. The Gemara was once an "on-going non-canonized set of ideas." Now it's a holy text studied by Jews everywhere. Rabbis of the Middle Ages inveighed angrily against "Zochreinu lechayyim" (Remember us for life), now a sacred part of the High Holiday liturgy, because they believed Jews were wrongly adding to canonized prayers. The dichotomy between "canon" and "conversation"

> is more slippery than we think.

Whether or not we decide to do it, this generation of Jews will create a few works that have staying power. Jews will disagree about the sanctity of these works, and this does present a threat to Jewish unity. Jews who see newly composed egalitarian prayers and wedding contracts as holy, for example, may find themselves in theological conflict with Jews who do not. It's scary to think of arguing over a canon. But we can take comfort: it's a threat we've faced many times before.

— Jill Hammer

ooking

Licifically to the schisms that occurred in the late second Temple period, Jews did in fact agree to a large extent on what texts were considered sacred, and they could and did converse (without necessarily agreeing) about these texts. The breaking points in the various schisms that occurred at that time were not books, but rituals. Pharisees, Zadokites, Oumran sectarians, early Christians, and Hellenized Jews not only practiced different rituals but often argued that their own versions were the only correct rituals.

Some ritual theorists claim that the significance of ritual lies in its power to symbolize or maintain hierarchy within a society. If this is so, then the struggle over ritual reveals a deeper struggle for power, for supremacy within the Jewish hierarchy, and for the ascendancy of one's own particular vision.

If history has a lesson, then this particular episode in history demonstrates that conversation - whether about books or not - is not sufficient. Modern Jews must learn to respect other Jews' books, ideas, and rituals. But perhaps even more, we must respect every other Jew's right to self-determination.

— Naftali Cohn



Let us hear

Rabbi Jeffrey Saks is Founding Director of ATID—The Academy for Torah Initiatives and Directions in Jewish Education, and Editor of Wisdom from All My Teachers: Challenges and Initiatives in Contemporary Torah Education.

Rabbi Jill Hammer, Ph.D., is a senior associate at Ma'yan: The Jewish Women's Project of the Jewish Community Center in Manhattan.

Marcie Hershman is the author of the memoir Speak to Me: Grief, Love and What Endures. She teaches at Tufts University.

Lois Dubin teaches Jewish history, thought, and women's spirituality at Smith College.

Rabbi Naftali Cohn is currently a graduate student in rabbinic literature at the University of Pennsylvania.

March 2004 Nisan 5764 To subscribe: 877-568-SHMA www.shma.com